



Rehabilitation of MLK Boulevard Bridge Over Doan Brook

(City Bridge No. 5:030M – SFN 1869507, PID 119875)

20-Sep-2024

Responses to Questions

The following questions have been received by the Mayor's Office of Capital Projects for the subject project. Answers are included following each question.

Question:

We recently submitted on a roadway project for the City (Carnegie Ave. PH3), and the required proposal section had been updated to a new format. It appears the required proposal sections in this RFP (starting on p. 20) are from the older format that was previously used by the City.

Can you please verify the sections and required information listed for items 1-16 (pgs 20-26 of RFP) are correct and we should not reference the newer version?

Answer:

Yes. Please use this version of the Request. (Comment: The City is in the process of updating these Requests, and this older version is a legacy.)

Continued next page.





Question:

Is this the correct contact for this RFP (item 12, p. 25 of RFP & item #14, p. 26 of RFP)?

Richard J. Switalski, P.E.
Administration Bureau Manager
Division of Engineering & Construction
601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 518
Cleveland, OH 44114-1015
Telephone: (216) 664-2381

Answer:

No, it should be updated to:

Richard J. Switalski, P.E.
Administrative Manager
Division of Engineering & Construction
601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 518
Cleveland, OH 44114-1015
Telephone: (216) 664-2381

Question:

Is an electronic version of the submittal required?

Answer:

No. Electronic submittal is not required for this project. (Comment: This is a legacy project as the City is transitioning to new boilerplate and processes.)

Question:

Is there a specified page limit for Item #2: Technical (p. 20 of RFP)?

Answer:

There is no specified limit. The complexities of the project do not warrant extended discussion across all disciplines involved. Respondents who wish to highlight specific areas may do so.

Continued next page.





Question:

Item # 3: Experience (p. 21 of RFP):

Please confirm what information is required for this section. There appears to be duplicated requested information listed in item #1 Management.

The RFP states the following:

1. Consultant and or team members shall be ODOT prequalified in project specific disciplines. Resumes of key personnel to be assigned to this project may be included (p. 21 of RFP under item #3 Experience).
 - a. RFP states to provide a matrix indicating the prime and sub-consultant firms' ODOT prequalifications in Section 1: Management (p. 20 of RFP). Do the ODOT prequalifications need to be included in this section as well?
 - b. RFP states resumes should be submitted within SF 330-Part 1 located in Section 1: Management (p. 20 of RFP). Do they need to be included in this section as well?
2. Show the role of proposed project team members in the implementation of projects included in the Project Experience section of the RFP (p. 21 of RFP under Item #3 Experience).
 - a. Should example projects be included within Section 3? Or are they to be included within the SF 330-Part 1 (Section f), which would be in Section 1: Management?
 - b. Are we to include Section G of the SF 330 Part I in the Management section? If so, the role of proposed project team members in the implementation of projects included in the Project Experience would be listed on that. Do they also need to be included in this section?

Continued next page.





Answer:

1.a.: Key staff providing a firm's prequalifications are identified in Part 1. Management. They do not need to be identified as such elsewhere.

1.b.: Provide the SF 330 only in Part 1. Provide any additional resumes in Part 3.

2.a.: Provide the SF 330 only in Part 1. Provide any additional project experience in Part 3.

2.b.: Yes. Include SF 330 Section G in Part 1. Management. Provide SF 330 resumes (Section E) in part 1, and any additional resumes in Part 3. (Comment: Staff resumes in the SF 330 are quite limited. Part 3 provides Respondents with the opportunity to provide fuller resumes, additional resumes and project experience.)

Question:

The RFP does not state how many Level A (utility test holes) to perform. Can you please clarify how many Level A test holes the City anticipates for the project?

Answer:

Provide for two Level A test holes.

Continued next page.





Question:

The current documents show only 9 months from authorization to proceed to filing the plans, and the ESR fieldwork cannot start until 5/1/25. Doan Brook is a mussel stream and they will have in-stream work. It also lists an Ellis environmental document submittal in 4/1/25 with contract in 2/25. The environmental work appears complex, and we anticipate it will likely take longer for agency review and environmental document than what is in the current schedule. Is the City receptive to schedule adjustments to accommodate the environmental process?

Answer:

The City and selected consultant will coordinate schedule with ODOT District 12 at project scoping meeting. Any schedule changes and required contract modifications will be incorporated into this design contract as needed.

No further questions were received.

